



What Women Want Planning and Financing for Gender-Responsive Peacebuilding

What Women Want Planning and Financing for Gender-Responsive Peacebuilding

Acknowledgement

This paper was written by Hanny Cueva-Beteta, Christopher Kuonqui and Limon B. Rodriguez with contributions from Rob Jenkins, Anne Marie Goetz, Samina Anwar and Rachel Dore Weeks. It draws on two background papers by Kade Finnoff, Bhargavi Ramamurthy and Diana Salas.

First edition, October 2008

Second edition, October 2012

*Any reference to “UNIFEM” in the document must be understood to refer to “former UNIFEM”, one of the four entities merged into the United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women on 21st July, 2010 by United Nations General Assembly Resolution A/RES/64/289.

*Any reference to United Nations “resolution 1325 and subsequent resolutions or 5 WPS resolutions” in the document must be understood to refer to Security Council resolutions on women and peace and security 1325 (2000); 1820 (2008); 1888 (2009); 1889 (2009); and 1960 (2010).

*On the cover: KIBUMBA, DRC, October 2008:
A Congolese woman carrying her child and belongings
passes a Congolese army tank near Kibumba.*

“While peace agreements furnish a framework for transitions from conflict to peace, the blueprints for international engagement with states emerging from conflict are produced through a range of post-conflict planning processes.”

— United Nations Secretary-General, 2010¹

As blueprints for post-conflict reconstruction and development, national planning frameworks matter to women. Planning models determine which policy objectives will be prioritized and how resources will be allocated. Planning to enhance women’s well-being is, inevitably, complex. For instance, women’s inability to access services in post-conflict settings often stems from a combination of physical insecurity, which constrains women’s mobility, and pervasive social norms that deprive them of equal opportunities. Developing strategies to address these problems requires a planning process attuned to social relations and gender issues. All too often, however, women’s needs are overlooked in planning processes, and thus translated into neither funds nor eventually, results. The importance of funding for securing results cannot be overemphasized, as noted by the United Nations (UN) Secretary-General, in his 2009 Report on Peacebuilding in the Immediate Aftermath of Conflict: “funding for women’s early recovery needs is vital to increase women’s empowerment and correct historical gender imbalances.”²

This review of current patterns of planning and funding in post-conflict settings reveals a persistent gender bias, in which women’s needs and issues are systematically underfunded. The UN Secretary-General, in his 2010 Report on Women’s Participation in Peacebuilding, highlights the “sobering” shortcoming of UN-managed funds in financing women’s post-conflict needs. While establishing a correct proportion of funding for meeting women’s specific needs, advancing gender equality, and empowering women is ultimately a political process, the UN Secretary-General made a commitment to ensure “that at least 15 per cent of UN-managed funds in support of peacebuilding is dedicated to projects whose principal objective (consistent with organizational mandates) is to address women’s specific needs, advance gender equality or empower women.”³

Two years on, some progress to achieving this commitment is discernible. UN entities and UN country teams are rolling out resource tracking methods to generate a baseline of spending on gender equality. The UNCT in Nepal is one example. It has allocated 9 per cent of its current peacebuilding portfolio to addressing women or girls’ specific needs. Moreover, the UN’s Peacebuilding Fund (PBF) has reached its first stated target of doubling its allocations to women’s empowerment by 2012, reaching 10 per cent.

This paper addresses a wide range of questions in the area of planning and financing for gender equality in post-conflict settings. It presents findings from several studies conducted by the United Nations Entity for Gender Equality and the Empowerment of Women (UN Women) on the extent to which women’s needs and issues are addressed in post-conflict planning frameworks.

The paper is divided into seven sections. The first outlines the critical methodology adopted. Section 2 reviews Multi Partner Trust Funds (MPTFs) projects, comparing cumulative results as of 2012 to the findings as of 2010. Sections 3 to 5 discuss the results from analysis performed, respectively, of three additional typical over-arching planning documents: United Nations Development Assistance Frameworks (UNDAFs), Post-conflict Needs Assessments (PCNAs), and Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers (PRSPs). Section 6 summarizes the main findings and explores common issues across all the frameworks. The last section recommends methods for improving gender mainstreaming in planning frameworks.⁴

1. Methodology

Assessing the extent to which a cross-cutting issue like gender equality is incorporated in planning frameworks is a challenging task, and one that is difficult to quantify. Taking advantage of the fact that most strategic planning frameworks produce a matrix of activities (sometimes also called targets, outputs or results), indicators and budgets, UNIFEM developed a specific methodology to examine the inclusion of women's needs and issues in at least these three levels.

The methodology is divided into two steps:

First, the content of all activities, indicators and budget lines was analyzed and classified according to whether these address women's needs and issues. Since all planning frameworks differ in the way activities and indicators are clustered, the smallest cluster employed for budget allocation (for example, line item) was used in the context of this analysis. For each cluster

(i.e., sector, sub-sector or any other categorization used), the following three estimated percentages were calculated:

- » Activities that mention women's needs and issues, calculated as a percentage of the total number of activities in the cluster;
- » Indicators that mention women's needs and issues, calculated as a percentage of the total number of indicators in the cluster; and
- » Budget lines that mention women's needs and issues, calculated as the percentage of the total budget for the cluster.

The second step was to calculate the budget specifically allocated to address women's needs and issues. This is estimated as the weighted average of all percentages estimated to address women's needs and issues by cluster, using the total budget for the cluster as the weighing factor (see Box 1).

Box 1 – Calculation of budget allocated to address women's needs and issues

The level of funding for women's needs and issues (B) is calculated as the weighted average of all percentages estimated to address women's needs and issues by cluster (A), using the total budget allocated for each cluster as the weighing factor. This estimation offers a better approximation than simply estimating a non-weighted average as shown in the examples presented below.

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \frac{f_h \text{ number of activities or indicators that address women's needs and issues in cluster } j^*}{\text{total number of activities or indicators in cluster } j} \times \frac{\text{amount of budget allocated in cluster } j}{\text{total budget}}$$

* where j clusters are the categories used to organize the budget

Method A

From identifying cluster percentages of activities or indicators that address women's needs and issues

(example)	
Cluster 1	70% (7/10)
Cluster 2	50% (10/20)
Cluster 3	10% (1/10)
Cluster 4	10% (2/20)
Cluster 5	0% (0/5)
All	30% (20/65)
30% of all activities or indicators address women's needs and issues.	



Method B

To calculating budgets allocated to activities or indicators that address women's needs and issues

(example)	
70% (of US\$3 million)	= 2.1
50% (of US\$2 million)	= 1.0
10% (of US\$10 million)	= 1.0
10% (of US\$20 million)	= 2.0
0% (of US\$50 million)	= 0.0
7% (of US\$85 million)	= 6.1
7% of the total budget is allocated to activities or indicators that address women's needs and issues.	

Based on this example, using the "unweighted" average in Method A generates a result of 30 per cent, while using the total budget allocated for each cluster as a weight Method B produces a result of 7 per cent. Method A likely overestimates the level of funding for women's needs and issues, while Method B produces a more realistic result.

In order to provide analysis and results by thematic area, the clusters were also aggregated according to six major thematic areas: economic recovery and infrastructure; security and rule of law; social protection and human rights; education; health; and governance and administration (see Box 2 for further clarification).

It is important to clarify from the outset that the methodology used for this analysis is subject to certain limitations. One of the most important is the methodology's high dependency on the structure of planning frameworks within clusters, and their level of inclusion of women's needs and issues. Planning frameworks with disaggregated categories or thematic areas will show a more accurate picture of the extent to which women's needs and issues are considered. In contrast, when a planning

framework has relatively few categories, bias in estimating the gender content at the level of activities and indicators is more likely to emerge. For instance, if there is even a single mention of women's needs or issues in a relatively large category, according to the methodology used, the full amount budgeted to that category would be considered gender responsive. Conversely, the budget for the entire category would appear gender blind in the absence of any reference to gender or women's needs and issues.


The above methodology was applied to a sample of planning frameworks across twelve countries covering over 3,000 activities and indicators, including six PCNAs, five PRSPs and six UNDAFs; in addition, over 200 project documents were analyzed from MPTFs and JPs across six countries (see Figure 1).

Box 2 – Example of application of methodology by thematic area

The following example shows the application of the methodology by thematic area, using activities as the analytical starting point.

Thematic area	Activities that address women's needs and issues		All activities (number) A2	Budget		Estimation (%) B=AxB2
	(%) A=A1/A2	(number) A1		(US\$) B1	(% total) B2=B1/total B1	
Economic recovery and infrastructure	25	1	4	30	30	7.50
Security and rule of law	25	1	4	15	15	3.75
Health	25	1	4	15	15	3.75
Education	25	1	4	15	15	3.75
Social protection and human rights	50	2	4	10	10	5.00
Governance and administration	0	0	4	5	5	0.00
Total				100		23.75

FIGURE 1—timeline of planning frameworks included in the analysis by country Four main instruments were analyzed in a sample of twelve countries; the following graph indicates the period during which each of the instruments would be applied.



2. Multi-Partner Trust Funds

This section analyzes Multi-Partner Trust Funds (MPTFs) and Joint Programmes (JPs), which operate at much closer levels of proximity to implementation than do other over-arching planning frameworks that will be reviewed in sections 4 to 6, namely UNDAFs, PCNAs and PRSPs. More specifically, MPTFs are joint pools of resources to support national priorities and facilitate UN Entities to work and deliver in close coordination. JPs, on the other hand, are sets of activities contained in a common work plan and related budget, involving two or more UN entities and national partners. Both instruments tend to use the MPTF office of the United Nations Development Programme (UNDP) to play the role of administrative agent interfacing with donors, and are implemented through projects. For this reason, both instruments will be referred to hereafter as MPTFs.

MPTF project documents usually contain: situation analysis; strategies, lessons learned and proposed joint programmes (e.g., programme outcomes, integration and coordination, intrastate peacebuilding and conflict management mechanisms, participation of beneficiaries, sustainability of results); priority interventions and actions; results framework; management arrangements; monitoring, evaluation and reporting; and work plans and budgets.

This review analyzed 202 project documents covering six case study countries – Burundi, Democratic Republic of Congo, Iraq, Sierra Leone, Sudan and Timor-Leste (see Annex 1). Overall, these projects amounted to US\$ 1,024 million and were reviewed in two phases: 87 cases were analyzed as of June 2010 and 115 cases additionally available as of April 2012.


The overall results indicate that attention to gender specific needs or issues in MPTFs has been and continues to be very low, under 8 per cent: whether assessing activities, budgets or indicators (figure 2). Compared to results as of 2010, the proportion of project activities addressing gender specific needs has dropped by almost half, from 11 per cent to 6.7 per cent. While this highlights a declining trend, results as of 2012 show some slight improvements in both project indicators and budgets targeting gender specific needs or issues: from 6.9 per cent to 7.7 per cent in the case of indicators, and from 5.7 per cent to 7.1 per cent in the case of budgets.

This section explores in further detail the country and sector findings in the MPTF projects reviewed. It also provides an analysis of how the overall attention to gender issues has evolved across MPTF projects. This is done by comparing the results of all projects as of 2012 (202 cases) with the subset that was available as of 2010 (87 cases).

2.1 Country level analysis

These overall declining trends are also evident at the country level (figure 3). Across the six case study countries, all showed a decline in the gender-sensitivity in activities with exception of one country. The inclusion of women's needs in indicator components of MPTFs improved in four of the six countries. Meanwhile, budget components of MPTFs are also showing a drop in gender sensitivity in four out of the six countries.

FIGURE 2— ATTENTION TO GENDER ISSUES IN MPTF PROJECTS IS CONSISTENTLY BELOW 8%
EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN MPTFS (AS PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL BUDGET)



Note: The review analyzed 202 project documents covering six case study countries - Burundi, Democratic Republic of Congo, Iraq, Sierra Leone, Sudan and Timor-Leste. Overall, these projects amounted to US\$ 1,024 million and were reviewed in two phases, 87 cases were analyzed as of June 2010 and 115 cases additionally available as of April 2012.


Sources: Finnoff and Ramamurthy (2010); Finnoff and Salas (2012); United Nations Development Group (2010b). Estimation by UN Women.

» **Activities largely in decline.** All of the six case study countries exhibited deterioration in the gender-responsiveness of activities in MPTFs – with the exception of Iraq, improving only slightly from 4.2 per cent to 4.5 per cent. Sudan saw the largest decline in the gender-responsiveness of activities – falling by two-thirds from 29.2 per cent to 9.7 per cent. Burundi saw a drop from 16.9 per cent to 10.8 per cent, while the Democratic Republic of the Congo fell from 16.0 per cent to 10.9 per cent. Sierra Leone saw a smaller decline from 7.4 per cent to 6.9 per cent, and Timor-Leste fell only slightly from 63.8 per cent to 63.3 per cent.

» **Modest improvement in indicators.** At the country level, MPTF indicator components show a largely positive change in gender sensitivity. Iraq led in positive improvements with a seven-fold increase, from only 0.9 per cent of indicators showing gender responsiveness as of 2010 to 6.0 per cent as of 2012. The Democratic Republic of the Congo (from 6.9 per cent to 8.9 per cent), Sierra Leone (6.2 per cent to 7.4 per cent), and Timor-Leste (63.9 per cent to 65.7 per cent) saw similar but smaller increases in the proportion of indicators including women's needs. Burundi and Sudan saw larger drops. The share of Burundi's indicators responsive to women's needs and issues declined from 13.4 per cent as of 2010 to 8.5 per cent as of 2012, while Sudan's fell from 18.6 per cent to 11.0 per cent.

» **Most budgets declining.** The extent of inclusion of women's needs in Sudan's MPTF budgets saw the largest decline, from 23.7 as of 2010 to 7.8 per cent as of 2012. Similarly, Burundi (from 10.4 per cent to 6.6 per cent), the Democratic Republic of Congo (18.5 per cent to 12.3 per cent), and Sierra Leone (from 13.2 per cent to 12.1 per cent) exhibited similar declines in the extent to which gender issues are included in project budgets. By contrast, Iraq saw the largest improvement, from 0.0 per cent to 4.7 per cent, followed by Timor-Leste which marginally increased its proportion of budgets including women's needs and issues, from 89.2 per cent to 89.4 per cent.

FIGURE 3 – OVERALL DECLINE IN ATTENTION TO GENDER ISSUES IN MPTF PROJECT COMPONENTS ACROSS CASE STUDY COUNTRIES
EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN’S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN MPTF ACTIVITIES, INDICATORS AND BUDGETS (AS PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL BUDGET)




Sources: Finnoff and Ramamurthy (2010); Finnoff and Salas (2012); United Nations Development Group (2010b). Estimation by UN Women.

2.2 Sector level analysis

With modest improvements in only a few of the components of MPTFs, activities, indicators and budgets across most sectors show deteriorating trends in the extent of inclusion of women’s needs and issues. Overall sector trends show that the most gender responsive sectors are those that hold the smallest shares of concrete budgets.

At US\$ 592 million as of June 2012, the economic recovery and infrastructure sector holds the lion’s share of budgets—four times as large as the second largest sector, governance and administration (figure 4). Yet, with just two per cent of MPTF budgets in the economic recovery and infrastructure sector including women’s needs and issues, the sector is the least gender responsive (figure 5). Box 3 gives examples of women’s needs and issues that were addressed in MPTFs.

FIGURE 4 – ECONOMIC RECOVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE SECTOR HOLDS THE LION’S SHARE OF MPTF FINANCING
MPTFs TOTAL BUDGET (US\$ MILLIONS) AND SECTOR BUDGET SHARE OF TOTAL (%).



Sources: Finnoff and Ramamurthy (2010); Finnoff and Salas (2012); United Nations Development Group (2010b). Estimation by UN Women.

Box 3 – Examples of women’s needs and issues that were addressed in MPTFs

Thematic Area	Example
ECONOMIC RECOVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE	Provide business coaching/Enterprise-based apprenticeship program with special attention to women
SECURITY AND RULE OF LAW	Establishment of female police officer-staffed family response units in police stations
SOCIAL PROTECTION AND HUMAN RIGHTS	Vocational training opportunities available for adolescents with a special focus on girls and ex-child soldiers especially Civil Society (NGOs, Women’s Groups, CBOs, academia) trained on gender-sensitive planning and GRB to advocate for, scrutinise and monitor public expenditure Women’s CSOs trained on Gender Analysis, mainstreaming; Gender Responsive Budget Monitoring; leadership/communication skills; project cycle management, computer skills
HEALTH	Train service providers: medical doctors, midwife, NGOs clinic staff, medical assistants, nurses and staff working at Family and Child Unit on Clinical Management of Rape Provision of Post-rape kits to health facilities (hospitals, clinics and Family and Child Unit) where providers have been trained Set up a mechanism for coordination on GBV work, including the development of the referral pathway for survivors, SOPs in coordination with key actors on the prevention and response to Gender-Based Violence Issues Training for health care workers on the use of the Medical Forensic Protocol for Examination of Victims of Domestic Violence, Sexual Assault and Child Abuse
GOVERNANCE AND ADMINISTRATION	Representation of women in conflict management mechanisms at state, county and community levels National and local referral mechanisms and services established and/or strengthened for protection of victims of human trafficking and domestic violence Detailed examination of sector breakdowns reveals a record of largely declining or unchanging trends in the inclusion of women’s needs and issues in MPTFs.

Detailed examination of sector breakdowns reveals a record of largely declining or unchanging trends in the inclusion of women’s needs and issues in MPTFs.

- » **Economic recovery and infrastructure sector is the least gender-responsive, but there are some improvements in indicators.** Although the sector as a whole largely excludes women’s needs and issues, the economic recovery and infrastructure sector has shown a significant improvement in the gender responsiveness of indicator components. Jumping from 0.6 per cent as of 2010 to 6.6 per cent as of 2012 shows a more than ten-fold increase, although from a modest baseline. However, these improvements have not carried through to activities and budgets, which show overall less gender-responsiveness.
- » **Social protection and human rights sector is the most gender-sensitive, but declining overall.** The social protection and human rights sector continues to show the largest extent of inclusion of women’s needs and issues in MPTFs across activities, indicators and budget components. As of 2012, the sector allocates one-quarter (24.9 per cent) of its funds to wom-

en’s needs and issues—but its percentage share of total MPFT budget stands at just 10 per cent. Moreover, trends are in significant decline for the sector, by nearly half for all components.

- » **Mixed degrees of attention in other sectors.** All other sectors show mixed degrees of attention, with no sector allocating more than 16.1 per cent of any MPTF project components to women’s needs or issues as of 2012. Gender sensitivity in budgets ranged from only 1.5 per cent in the education sector to 15.2 per cent in the security and rule of law sector. Inclusion of women’s needs and issues in indicator components ranged from 5.5 per cent in the governance and administration sector to 9.3 per cent in the health sector. And in activities components, the range extended from 8.4 per cent in the health sector to 16.1 per cent in the governance sector, as of 2012.


2.3 Summary of findings

Two main challenges continue to constrain the extent to which women's post-conflict needs and issues are being met by MPTFs. First, gender is designated as a cross-cutting issue within many projects, especially in Peacebuilding Fund projects. However, even as a cross-cutting issue, gender specific issues often fail to translate into the logframe, which is the main planning tool for implementation. The effectiveness of gender mainstreaming will continue to be minimal unless it can translate into specific objectives, activities, and indicators where beneficiaries by gender can be assessed and monitored.

The second challenge is the widespread lack of sex-disaggregated data across project documents. Consistently monitoring gender specific impacts and allocation of funds requires a level of sex-disaggregated data rarely available. Although the number of gender-specific has increased since 2010, this is often unconnected to the logframe and thus in budget analysis.

However, there are some improvements. First, there has been an increase in the identification of number of beneficiaries that are women. A good example of this is the Millennium Development Goal Fund in Timor-Leste (see box 4). However, in most projects, this identification still largely fails to translate to the logframe, including indicators, and costing levels.

FIGURE 5 – YET THE ECONOMIC RECOVERY AND INFRASTRUCTURE SECTOR REMAINS THE LEAST GENDER-RESPONSIVE
PROPORTION OF MULTI-PARTNER TRUST FUND SECTORAL BUDGETS INCLUDING WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES (AS PERCENTAGE OF THE SECTOR BUDGET)



Sources: Finnoff and Ramamurthy (2010); Finnoff and Salas (2012); United Nations Development Group (2010b). Estimation by UN Women.

Box 4—Good practice in disaggregating by beneficiaries in Timor-Leste

BENEFICIARIES

Direct beneficiaries: "The individuals, groups, or organizations, targeted, that benefit, directly, from the development of intervention."								
INDICATE BENEFICIARY TYPE	Expected Number of Institutions	Number of Institutions reached to date	Expected Number of Women	Number of Women to date	Expected Number of Men	Number of Men reached to date	Expected Number of Individuals from Ethnic Groups	Number of Individuals from Ethnic Groups reached to date
NATIONAL INSTITUTIONS	26	26	734	383	544	562	*N/A	N/A
LOCAL INSTITUTIONS	193	193	9,804	20,298	8,735	19,097	N/A	N/A
TOTAL	219	219	10,538	20,786	9,279	19,682	N/A	N/A
*There is no existence of Ethnic Group in Timor-Leste. The Government of Timor-Leste recognized equal rights and opportunities for all citizens.								
Indirect beneficiaries: "The individuals, groups, or organizations, not targeted, that benefit, indirectly, from the development intervention."								
Direct beneficiaries: "The individuals, groups, or organizations, targeted, that benefit, directly, from the development of intervention."								
INDICATE BENEFICIARY TYPE	Expected Number of institutions	Number of Institutions reached to date	Expected number of Women	Number of Women to Date	Expected Number of Men	Number of Men reached to date	Expected Number of Individuals from Ethnic Groups	Number of Individuals from Ethnic Groups reached to Date
NATIONAL INSTITUTIONS	7	7	68	61	94	77	*N/A	N/A
LOCAL INSTITUTIONS	0	0	8,110	8,110	7,721	9,563	N/A	N/A
TOTAL	7	7	8,184	8,177	7,815	9,640	N/A	N/A

Source: MDG Achievement Fund (2012).

The second major improvement has been the inclusion, within some project documents, of a gender marker. While these improvements are not yet widespread in the majority of project documents, they nevertheless illustrate areas of good practice and progress. Systematic mainstreaming of these improvements across all project documents is necessary—and will likely translate into more gender-responsive projects.

3. United Nations Development Assistance Frameworks

The UNDAF is used at the country level to coordinate UN system activities and to provide a coherent response to national priorities and needs. More generally, it is anchored to the framework of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). An UNDAF is conducted every two to six years, sometimes more often, depending on the country. In this sample, for example, two UNDAFs are included for the Republic of Sierra Leone for the periods 2006-2007 and 2008-2010; the Republic of Burundi for the periods 2005-2007 and 2010-2014; the Democratic Republic of Timor-Leste for the period 2009-2013; and the Republic of Sudan for the period 2009-2012.


An UNDAF usually has the following sections: planning and preparation process; context and situation analysis; priorities and technical assistance strategies; outcomes and results matrix; initiatives outside the results matrix; financing requirements; and implementation, monitoring and evaluation mechanisms.

The preparation and implementation of an UNDAF takes a collaborative approach, promoting participation among stakeholders in a country's development, including the government, international institutions like the World Bank and the International Monetary Fund (IMF), bilateral donors, civil society and the private sector.

Among all the UN planning frameworks under consideration here, UNDAFs stand out for their high levels of gender sensitivity (see Box 5 for specific examples of women's needs and issues addressed in UNDAFs). The extent of inclusion of women's needs and issues in UNDAFs is between 29 and 35 per cent at the activities, indicators and budget level (Figure 6).

In the UNDAFs analyzed for this review, the thematic areas with the highest degree of gender sensitivity at the activities and indicators level are health, education, and economic recovery and infrastructure (Figure 7). At the budget level, social protection and human rights also demonstrates a high degree of gender sensitivity, together with education, and economic recovery and infrastructure. Security and rule of law is the thematic area demonstrating the least gender sensitivity across all levels.


FIGURE 6 – EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN UNDAFs (AS PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL BUDGET)



Sources: Finnoff and Ramamurthy (2010); United Nations Development Group (2004); United Nations Development Group (2006); United Nations Development Group (2009a, 2009b, 2009c, 2010d) Estimation by UN Women.

Two observations are worth highlighting: first, compared to the other planning frameworks that were analyzed in this review, UNDAFs show higher gender sensitivity in the area of economic recovery and infrastructure, accompanied by a relatively lower percentage of the total budget allocated to this area. Second, the thematic area of social protection and human rights receives a much higher proportion of the total budget in UNDAFs than it does in other planning frameworks considered here.

FIGURE 7 – EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN UNDAFs BY THEMATIC AREA (AS PERCENTAGE)



Sources: Finnoff and Ramamurthy (2010); United Nations Development Group (2004); United Nations Development Group (2006); United Nations Development Group (2009a, 2009b, 2009c, 2010d) Estimation by UN Women.

Box 5 – Examples of women's needs and issues addressed in UNDAFs

Thematic area	Example
Economic recovery and infrastructure	Increased agricultural services and inputs, especially for women, internally displaced persons (IDPs), returnees, refugees and other groups with specific needs Land use and land tenure policies, regulations and systems are introduced by the government in collaboration with communities, with a focus on access to land by women
Security and rule of law	Ex-combatants, and women and children associated with armed forces and groups demobilized and provided with reinsertion and reintegration support
Education	Safe, inclusive, child-friendly learning spaces provided for basic education (including water and separate sanitary facilities), particularly for girls, nomads, children with special needs and children in conflict-affected and underserved areas Curriculum reviewed to include practical life skills for health, nutrition, hygiene, peacebuilding, prevention of female genital mutilation (and childcare practices for parents)
Health	Comprehensive health management information systems, including (birth, death) registration and maternal death audit, established at all levels Essential integrated basic packages (comprehensive reproductive health care, nutrition, integrated management of child illnesses, expanded immunization services), adequate equipment and supplies, and referral facilities available at the community level, with a special focus on groups with specific needs (including IDPs, returnees and refugees) Capacities, including institutional infrastructure and human resources, to provide pre- and in-service training, including midwifery schools, created and/or strengthened
Governance and administration	Legislative and regulatory mechanisms promoting women's political leadership and representation developed and implemented

4. Post-Conflict Needs Assessments

Post-Conflict Needs Assessments (PCNAs) are multilateral exercises undertaken by the UN, the European Union (EU), the World Bank (WB) and Regional Development Banks by the official request and leadership of the national government and with the cooperation of donor countries. Post-Conflict Needs Assessments (PCNAs) have different names at the national level and are processes used by countries emerging from conflict or after episodes of crises to coordinate donors, collectively identify main recovery priority actions, and mobilize resources.

Government agencies, donors, international organizations and international financial institutions participate in the process. Other national stakeholders may also be consulted, such as civil society organizations, the private sector and political parties. After the assessment is completed, a donors conference is planned and held.

PCNA documents tend to be divided into two main sections: the narrative analysis and the Transitional Results Framework (TRF). The narrative analysis is generally organized according to thematic areas (these vary by country) and comprises the context, situation analysis, problem statement, and priority needs and actions. In most cases, budgetary needs are not mentioned in the narrative section; and if they are, they are only broadly included. In the TRF, outcomes, indicators and targets of the PCNA are further elaborated; in most cases, the TRF is also laid out by thematic area, following a similar organization to the narrative section. In the sample examined for this review, estimated budget needs were often identified within the TRF itself; where this was not the case, the budget was presented separately. PCNA documents can also include sections on the process of document preparation and consultation; and implementation, management and coordination mechanisms.


A 2010 analysis of six PCNAs showed that in the narrative section, gender issues are mentioned across the thematic areas, particularly in social protection and human rights, education, and health. However, in contrast to UNDAFs, gender issues are mentioned much less systematically in the results framework: less than 5 per cent of activities and only 2.9 per cent of budget lines were found to mention women's needs and issues (see Figure 8).

Of the thematic areas, social protection and human rights, education and health were found to have the highest levels of gender responsiveness (see Figure 9). However, social protection and human rights attracts only a small percentage of the total budget. In contrast, while the budget for economic recovery and infrastructure represents more than half of the total funds,

this area was found to have the lowest degree of analysis and provision for women's needs.

This reflects a widespread presumption that economic recovery and infrastructure is gender neutral, based on the expectation that women and men benefit equally from investments in this area. Unfortunately, in most contexts this is not the case. For example, women may not benefit from temporary employment schemes if these do not address women's labour constraints, such as childcare, nor do they benefit from infrastructure projects that lack toilet facilities for girls and women and/or are undertaken in markets where women are inactive. Specific examples of how women's needs and issues were addressed in the PCNAs examined, broken down by thematic area, are highlighted in Box 6.


FIGURE 8 – EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN PCNAs (AS PERCENTAGE OF THE TOTAL BUDGET)



Note: Three cases analyzed did not have fully developed Transitional Results Frameworks; in these cases, analysis of activities was based on the narrative section. Information on indicators is not presented as it was only available in one of the six cases analyzed.

Sources: Asian Development Bank, World Bank and United Nations Development Programme (2002); United Nations and World Bank (2003); United Nations and World Bank (2008); United Nations Development Group (2005a, 2005b, 2005c); United Nations, World Bank and National Transitional Government of Liberia (2004); United Nations, World Bank, European Union and Inter-American Development Bank (2004); World Bank (1999) Estimation by UN Women.

FIGURE 9 – EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN PCNAs BY THEMATIC AREA (AS PERCENTAGE)



Note: Three cases analyzed did not have fully developed Transitional Results Frameworks; in these cases, analysis of activities was based on the narrative section. Information on indicators is not presented as it was only available in one of the six cases analyzed.

Sources: Asian Development Bank, World Bank and United Nations Development Programme (2002); United Nations and World Bank (2003); United Nations and World Bank (2008); United Nations Development Group (2005a, 2005b, 2005c); United Nations, World Bank and National Transitional Government of Liberia (2004); United Nations, World Bank, European Union and Inter-American Development Bank (2004); World Bank (1999) Estimation by UN Women.

Box 6 – Examples of women's needs and issues addressed in PCNAs

Thematic area	Example
Economic recovery and infrastructure	Creating temporary employment for women
	Establishing agricultural credit and microfinance schemes that target women
	Including women in investment planning and implementation teams
Security and rule of law	Disarmament and demobilization of ex-combatants, including women formerly associated with armed groups
	Increasing women's representation in security sector institutions, conflict resolution and constitution making
Social protection and human rights	Providing free legal aid clinics and building capacity of women's organizations
Education	Attracting, training and retaining female teachers
	Building sanitary facilities in schools for girls and boys
Health	Increasing tetanus toxoid coverage for pregnant and lactating women
	Lowering the price of Caesarian operations
	Improving women's access to water
	Increasing the number of midwives
Governance and administration	Developing affirmative action programmes in the civil service
Overall	In Somalia, a special women's symposium was held to reflect gender priorities in the final PCNA document. Attended by 140 representatives, the symposium also drew on women's contribution to peacebuilding and implementation of the framework ⁵

5. Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers

Initiated by the World Bank and IMF in 1999, PRSPs describe a country's macroeconomic, structural and social policies and programmes over a period of three or more years to promote growth and reduce poverty, as well as associated external financing needs and major sources of financing.⁶ They are prepared by member states through a participatory process, and often take on nationally specific names. The process involves government, civil society organizations, the private sector, sectoral experts, local communities, and international institutions such as the UN, World Bank, IMF and regional organizations.

A PRSP is usually structured according to the following sections: analysis of the poverty situation in the country; process of preparation; analysis of priority sectors and sub-sectors, goals and targets; macroeconomic framework; costing; implementation; and monitoring, evaluation and reporting. PRSPs attempt to bridge national public actions, donor support and development impact needed to meet the MDGs. As strategic planning frameworks with significant budgetary allocations, it is critical that they address and fund women's specific needs and issues.


As with PCNAs, however, an analysis of five PRSPs reveals that women's needs and issues received significantly more attention in the narrative section than at the planning level, in the logical framework. Low and diminishing levels of gender sensitivity were evident from activities (6 per cent) and indicators (5 per cent) to budget (2.6 per cent; see Figure 10).

Among the thematic areas, education, and social protection and human rights demonstrated the highest incorporation of women's

needs and issues at the level of activities and indicators. It is worth noting, however, that both the budget share and the absolute amount allocated to the social protection and human rights area comprise a very low percentage of the budget as a whole (see Figure 11). An analysis of PRSP budgets along thematic lines found education and health to be the most gender responsive.


Consistent with earlier findings in this review, economic recovery and infrastructure again attracts a sizeable proportion of total funds while doing little to target women directly. Box 8 shows specific examples of how PRSPs addressed women's needs and issues.

FIGURE 10 – EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN PRSPs (AS PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL BUDGET)



Sources: Federal Republic of Ethiopia (2002); Islamic Republic of Afghanistan (2008); Republic of Burundi (2006); Republic of Côte d'Ivoire (2009); Republic of Liberia (2008)
Estimation by UN Women.

FIGURE 11 – EXTENT OF INCLUSION OF WOMEN'S NEEDS AND ISSUES IN PRSPs BY THEMATIC AREA (AS PERCENTAGE)



Sources: Federal Republic of Ethiopia (2002); Islamic Republic of Afghanistan (2008); Republic of Burundi (2006); Republic of Côte d'Ivoire (2009); Republic of Liberia (2008)
Estimation by UN Women.

Box 7. Pakistan's 2010-2011 Assessment

In 2010 the Government of Pakistan initiated an assessment under the PCNA framework, targeting two areas of the country—Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KP) and the Federally Administered Tribal Areas (FATA). It was undertaken in partnership with the Asian Development Bank, the European Commission, the World Bank and the United Nations, and under the leadership of the KP Provincial Government, the FATA Secretariat, and the Pakistani Federal Government.

The Pakistan assessment benefitted from the support of a full time gender adviser, with systematic support from the UN Women Pakistan Country Director and gender focal points from across the UN and partner entities. The outcome document reflects this. The narrative contains a gender conflict and social analysis and sex-disaggregated data in key areas including literacy, education, employment and population demographics. Gender equality is identified as one of three cross cutting issues because of "the extreme marginalization of women and girls in FATA and KP, and their very restricted ability to contribute fully to peace building".

This emphasis in the narrative is translated to some degree into the outcomes, results, activities, and corresponding budgets. Of the 59 outcomes in the Transitional Results Framework, 28.8% can be categorized as gender-responsive, with 14.9% of outputs and 8.1% of all activities classified as gender responsive. Correlated to this, 6.1% of the budget is allocated to gender responsive activities. While this falls short of the UN's stated 15% goal, it is above the 2.9% budget average noted in this paper.

Box 8 – Examples of women's needs and issues addressed in PRSPs

Thematic area	Example
Economic recovery and infrastructure	Improving women's access to and management of factors of production, i.e., land, labour and capital, including in agriculture
Social protection and human rights	Offering skills training for widows, as well as poor and disabled women
Education	Increasing female school enrolment and retention through scholarships, take-home rations and other incentives
Health	Provision of emergency obstetric care Establishment of health centres with functional maternity units
Governance and administration	Development of gender-sensitive budgets

6. Main findings and relationships among planning frameworks

The findings presented above show clearly that although analysis of women's experiences of conflict and consequent specific recovery needs is addressed to some degree in the narrative portion of the frameworks studied, this analysis is for the most part not translated into specific activities and indicators in results frameworks, even though it is ostensibly the source for the latter. Moreover, even where activities and indicators do directly target women, they are not adequately matched with gender-responsive budget allocations.

Three specific trends regarding the gender content of strategic planning frameworks are revealed by the present study. First, planning frameworks that are more closely linked to implementation, such as MDTFs, display greater attention to gender sensitivity at all levels (activities, indicators and budgets) compared to more ambitious overarching frameworks such as PRSPs and PCNAs. This is probably due to the smaller unit of analysis in MDTFs (which include even project specification details, e.g., project description, objectives, outputs, activities, stakeholders), whose relatively narrow focus allows for the identification of concrete activities and targeted stakeholders, including beneficiaries.

There is, however, a relationship between the extent to which an overarching framework is gender sensitive, and the responsiveness to women's needs and issues of micro-level planning for implementation. Thus, since needs assessment, planning frameworks, and budget processes are often carried out sequentially, it is essential that women's needs be included as early as possible: early and macro-level recognition of women's needs improves the chances that adequate funds will later be allocated to address them.

Second, the gender-responsiveness of budgets was consistently lower than that of indicators, which in turn lagged behind that of activities. With the exception of UNDAFs, the highest proportion of budget allocation specifically targeting women was a mere 11 per cent, in the case of MDTFs. PRSPs allocated the smallest proportion of funds directly to women and girls, with only 2.6 per cent of the budget being explicitly gender responsive.

UNDAFs stand out starkly in contrast: about 30 per cent of the entire budget allocated to activities and indicators directly addressed women's needs and issues. One reason for this difference could be that the development of an UNDAF routinely includes consultations with a broad range of stakeholders from both government agencies to civil society, including women's rights advocates. Where women participate, they are able, for instance, to underscore the importance of incentivizing agricultural extension officers to target women clients, or providing more resources for water supply, both huge priorities for women. This would certainly explain the difference between UNDAFs and PCNAs or MDTFs, in which the participation of national stakeholders is often more limited.

It is not, however, the whole story: PRSPs are almost always developed through a broadly consultative process, and while their gender-responsive content is slightly higher at the activity level than that of PCNAs, it falls far below that of UNDAFs. That in the past PCNAs rarely undertook consultations specifically targeted to identify the needs and issues of women and girls may be a contributing factor. This is slowly changing as a result of consistent efforts by the UN to deploy gender expertise to PCNA processes. This is slowly changing as a result of consistent efforts by the UN to deploy gender expertise to PCNA processes. Another—and perhaps more sobering—explanation might be found in the fact that UNDAF budgets are essentially proposals without tangible funding commitments; in contrast, funds have already been committed in MDTFs, and PRSPs and PCNAs each have a clear link to funding commitments. In other words, responsiveness to women's needs is something planners are willing to suggest, but far less willing actually to fund.

Third, of the six thematic areas identified in this review, social protection, health and education showed the highest degree of gender-responsiveness in their corresponding activities, indicators and associated budgets; meanwhile, the gender-responsiveness of economic recovery and infrastructure remained consistently low. This reflects outmoded concepts of which sectors are of interest or relevant to women and therefore require targeted interventions.

7. Recommendations

In light of the limitations identified in this review, a number of recommendations can be made to develop a systematic approach to mainstreaming gender in planning frameworks:

a. Gender analysis should be included from the beginning of all processes to produce planning frameworks and should routinely include consultations with women and/or women's organizations and women's rights activists.

Robust gender analysis initiated from the beginning of planning processes is needed. A good practice for achieving this could be to conduct broad consultations to identify women's needs and ways to address them, or if time and resources do not permit, at least to conduct targeted consultations with women's organizations and women's rights activists. Gender analysis in planning processes should acknowledge differences in economic and reproductive activities, access to and control over resources, and gender-based obstacles in access to services and post-conflict needs, including physical security, the risk of gender-based violence or the scarcity of income-generating activities for women.

b. Ensure that adequate expertise is available to those undertaking a planning exercise to support their incorporation of gender issues in planning frameworks.

The availability of adequate expertise to assess gender-specific needs and issues, as well as to ensure that gender is mainstreamed throughout the planning process, must be a priority. This expertise should be available from the beginning of the process, as it is difficult to incorporate analysis or recommendations from gender

specialists once the assessment process has already begun. Mandating gender analysis throughout the process and ensuring adequate expertise is available and utilized will help to ensure that women's needs and issues are fully reflected in planning frameworks.

Engaging more women as experts is also needed. The relative dearth of women in policymaking partly explains why more funding is not devoted to issues of high priority for women. Women's participation as experts should not, however, be automatically equated with the availability of expertise on gender equality issues.

c. Specifically target the areas of economic recovery and infrastructure, and security and rule of law, for improved gender sensitivity in planning frameworks.

Targeted efforts should be made to address gender-specific needs and issues in areas that receive the highest levels of funding, such as economic recovery and infrastructure, and security and rule of law. These efforts could include specific reviews to assess the extent to which activities implemented in these areas do indeed benefit men and women equally. Guidance should be developed to highlight good practice on how to incorporate gender issues within these thematic areas, including what specific activities are good practice in addressing women's needs, and effective indicators for monitoring mainstreaming efforts. Incorporating a full-time gender expert within the relevant clusters may also be beneficial.

d. Gender should be both a cross-cutting issue and a major outcome or sub-outcome in logical frameworks.

The identification of gender as a cross-cutting issue in post-conflict planning and financing has not been matched with a framework

that facilitates incorporating a gender perspective in budget allocation and implementation planning. Addressing women's needs and issues must go beyond the narrative, and the gap between assessment, planning and implementation must be closed.

A key recommendation in this regard is that gender needs should be identified as both a cross-cutting issue and as a major outcome or sub-outcome. In other words, women's needs and issues need to be more routinely incorporated at the logical framework and budget levels within each of the thematic areas. Without such clarity in purpose and costing, it will be difficult to monitor progress and ensure adequate funding.

e. Use gender-disaggregated data.

Gender-disaggregated data are needed to consistently measure and evaluate financing for gender-specific needs in countries emerging from conflict. The TRFs and logical frameworks provide an existing monitoring framework where gender-disaggregated information can easily be called for. To adapt TRFs and logical frameworks to gender analysis requires specifying the beneficiaries (e.g., women and girls, along with other target groups) at each level of the TRF or logical framework—from outcomes, activities and indicators to specific budget allocations.

f. Set a minimum level of expenditures on gender issues.

A core recommendation of the UN Secretary-General's 2010 Report on Women's Participation in Peacebuilding is for UN entities to work towards "a goal of ensuring that at least 15 per cent of UN-managed funds in support of peacebuilding is dedicated to projects whose principal objective (consistent with organizational mandates) is to address women's specific needs, advance gender equality or empower women."⁷

Box 9. Principles and Practices for Integrating Gender Equality into PCNAs

In April 2011 the UN, World Bank and European Commission came together with the objective of agreeing on a common set of measures to be incorporated into PCNA processes to support the better incorporation of men and women's different needs and priorities into PCNA outcome documents, including results frameworks, targets, budgets and indicators. The following measures were agreed.

- Ensure assessment team includes at least one gender expert.
- Consult with civil society organizations and with women's groups specifically.
- Promote gender balance in assessment teams.
- Ensure systems for the collection of sex-disaggregated data.
- Ensure gender analysis is incorporated into any pre-assessment planning process.
- Encourage the inclusion of reservations of employment opportunities for women public service providers (health, agriculture extension, teachers, etc.) during the assessment and in the outcome document.

The Yemen Joint Socio-Economic Assessment (JSEA) was the first assessment carried out under the PCNA principles after the agreement of these measures. A Gender and Peacebuilding Expert was deployed to the assessment core team and worked with sector clusters to collect and analyze-sex disaggregated data, which was lacking in humanitarian response systems. Although the core team and sector clusters achieved a good gender balance and members of the assessment team met with women's groups, data collection faced key challenges linked to the inability to move outside the capital, which hindered primary data collection. As a result, much of the gendered conflict analysis had to rely on interviews with key informants which were in many cases dismissed as not representative.

While the outcome document is not yet final, and will likely not include a Transitional Results Framework, there is an indication that these efforts have helped the Government of Yemen to produce a gender-responsive assessment which includes sex-disaggregated data across all sectors, a description of the impact of the conflict on women in all sectors and specific section dedicated to a gender analysis of the conflict.

docs/9144/5936-Sudan_JAM_Final_Reports_Volumes_1-3_-_Volume_1__Framework.pdf (accessed December 9, 2009).

United Nations Development Group. (2005b). Joint Assessment Mission: Sudan Cluster Costing and Matrices Volume II. Retrieved from http://www.undg.org/docs/9144/5936-Sudan_JAM_Final_Reports_Volumes_1-3_-_Volume_2__Costings.pdf (accessed December 9, 2009).

United Nations Development Group. (2005c). Joint Assessment Mission: Sudan Cluster Costing and Matrices Volume III. Retrieved from http://www.undg.org/docs/9144/5936-Sudan_JAM_Final_Reports_Volumes_1-3_-_Volume_3__Cluster_Reports.pdf (accessed December 9, 2009).

United Nations Development Group. (2006). United Nations Development Assistance Framework for Sierra Leone (revised, 2006-2007). Retrieved from <http://www.undg.org/unct.cfm?module=CoordinationProfile&page=Country&CountryID=SIL&fuseaction=UN%20Country%20Coordination%20Profile%20for%20Sierra%20Leone> (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations Development Group. (2009a). Strategie Integree d'Appui des Nations Unies au Burundi (2010-2014). Retrieved from <http://www.undg.org/unct.cfm?module=CoordinationProfile&page=Country&CountryID=BDI&fuseaction=UN%20Country%20Coordination%20Profile%20for%20Burundi> (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations Development Group. (2009b). United Nations Development Assistance Framework for Sudan (2009-2012). Retrieved from <http://www.unops.org/SiteCollectionDocuments/Information-disclosure/UNDAFs/Sudan-UNDAF-2009-2012.pdf> (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations Development Group. (2009c). United Nations Development Assistance Framework for (Timor-Leste, 2009-2013). Retrieved from <http://www.tl.undp.org/undp/UNDAF/00%20Undaf%20December%20181208.pdf> (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations Development Group. (2010a). Multi-Donor Trust Funds. Retrieved from <http://mdtf.undp.org/overview/funds> (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations Development Group. (2010b). Multi-Donor Trust Fund Office Gateway. Retrieved from <http://mdtf.undp.org/document/statistics> (accessed May 15, 2010).

United Nations Development Group. (2010c). United Nations Development Assistance Framework. Retrieved from <http://www.undg.org/?P=232> (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations Development Group. (2010d). United Nations Development Assistance Frameworks. Retrieved from <http://www.undg.org/unct.cfm> (accessed May 15, 2010).

United Nations Development Programme. (2010). "Empowered Women: The Foundation of the Millennium Development Goals". Poster for the 54th Commission on the Status of Women side events.

United Nations Office for the Coordination of Humanitarian Affairs. United Nations ReliefWeb, Appeals and Funding Database. Retrieved from <http://ocha.unog.ch/fts/pageloader.aspx> (accessed December 9, 2009).

United Nations Peacebuilding Support Office. (2007). Peacebuilding Fund. Retrieved from <http://www.unpbf.org/> and http://www.unpbf.org/docs/application_guidelines.pdf (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations Peacebuilding Support Office. (2009). "Guidelines for Applying to the United Nations Peacebuilding Fund". Retrieved from <http://www.unpbf.org/document-archives/application-guidelines/> (accessed August 26, 2010).

United Nations, World Bank, European Union and Inter-American Development Bank. (2004). Republic of Haiti: Interim Cooperation Framework. Retrieved from http://www.undg.org/docs/9144/5534-Haiti_-_Interim_Cooperation_Framework_Report_-_English_report.pdf (accessed December 9, 2009).

United Nations, World Bank and National Transitional Government of Liberia. (2004). Joint Needs Assessment. Retrieved from http://www.undg.org/docs/9144/3348-Liberia_-_Joint_Needs_Assessment_Synthesis_Report_-_Synthesis_Report.pdf (accessed December 9, 2009).

World Bank. (1999). Timor-Leste Joint Assessment Mission Report. Retrieved from <http://go.worldbank.org/G2HV0P18Eo> (accessed December 9, 2009).

World Bank and International Monetary Fund. (2009). Global Monitoring Report 2009: A Development Emergency. Retrieved from http://siteresources.worldbank.org/INTGLOMONREP2009/Resources/5924349-1239742507025/GMR09_book.pdf (accessed April 30, 2010).

ANNEX:

Documents used for analysis of Multi-Partner Trust Fund projects

Country	Number of projects used in calculations		
	As of June 2010	June 2010 to April 2012	Cumulative as of 2012
Burundi	21	3	24
Democratic Republic of Congo	7	15	22
Iraq	25	59	84
Sierra Leone	25	30	55
Sudan	4	6	10
Timor-Leste	5	2	7
Total	87	115	202

This review analyzed 202 project documents covering six case study countries – Burundi, Democratic Republic of Congo, Iraq, Sierra Leone, Sudan and Timor-Leste. Overall, these projects amounted to US\$ 1,024 million and were reviewed in two phases, 87 cases were analyzed as of June 2010 and 115 cases additionally available as of April 2012. In the case of Iraq, all estimations for projects as of 2010 were based on a sample of 25 out of 184 projects amounting to \$200 million out of \$ 1,335 million. The only exception was the aggregated budget analysis that covered all 184 projects.

Endnotes:

- 1 United Nations, "Report of the Secretary-General on Women's Participation in Peacebuilding," paragraph 29.
- 2 United Nations, "Report of the Secretary-General on Peacebuilding in the Immediate Aftermath of Conflict," paragraph 79.
- 3 United Nations, "Report of the Secretary-General on Women's Participation in Peacebuilding," paragraph 36.
- 4 While Integrated Strategic Frameworks are becoming a more commonly used UN planning tool they are not addressed in this paper.]
- 5 United Nations and World Bank, "Somali Reconstruction and Development Programme," page 24.
- 6 International Monetary Fund, "Poverty Reduction Strategy Papers."
- 7 United Nations, "Report of the Secretary-General on Women's Participation in Peacebuilding," paragraph 36.
- 8 United Nations, "Report of the Secretary-General on Peacebuilding in the Immediate Aftermath of Conflict," paragraph 79.



United Nations Entity for Gender Equality
and the Empowerment of Women